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## The problem

- Across the UK, many hospital operations are being cancelled
- A significant proportion are cancelled due to lack of post-op beds on hospital wards
- In 2012/13, 18\% of operations were cancelled after being scheduled the University Hospital Wales
- Of these cancellations, over $54 \%$ were due to lack of beds
"The RCS report said more than 2,000 non-emergency operations had not been scheduled or cancelled because of a lack of beds in the first three months of 2013 and appears to be an inability to admit patients for elective surgery."


## Considerations

- Operating theatres (OT) are very valuable resources and should be used efficiently
- Not all theatres are suitable for all specialties
- Clinical specialties are assigned to operating

| WEDNESDAY <br> AM <br> ConsultantSIT | Lrauma | Paeds Ortho Mr Thomas | ENT MrA Tomkinson MNC | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { General Surg } \\ \text { Mr G Clarke } \end{array}$ PSS | Paeds Surgery Mr Hutton | CEPOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | theatres in a (usually weekly) cyclic schedule.

- Post-op lengths of stay can vary widely from person to person and depending on the type of operation
- OTs are a driver for demand in many other hospital departments



## Stages in OT scheduling



1. Case mix planning

Hospital managers

- Divide available OT time between different surgical specialties
- Estimate future demands for specialties

2. Master surgery schedule

Theatre department manager

- Specify blocks of time for each specialty
- Number of OTs and hours available for each specialty
- Cyclic timetable is created and used on a weekly basis

3. Elective patient scheduling

## Secretaries

- Sequencing of individual surgeries in each OT
- Based on surgeon preference, maximise utilisation etc.
- Operational decisions


## Master Surgery Schedule

## Blocks of time are allocated to each surgical specialty

- MSS is a cyclic weekly timetable of surgical procedure types
- Common objectives
- Maximise volume of patients/throughput
- Minimise difference in target and realised OT utilisation
- Minimise cost
- Common constraints
- Daily availability of staff and surgical equipment
- Ensure each specialty is assigned a minimum number of OTs for their surgeons
main theatre



## Scheduling model

1. Find optimal schedule that satisfies

- OT constraints - one specialty in each OT during each session
- Bed constraints - each day, the number of empty beds on the wards determines the number of patients that can be operated on. Certain wards are only available for certain specialties.

2. Simulate schedule

- Test new schedule with realistic predictions of lengths of stay
- How good is the schedule?
- Give confidence to managers/staff/patients


## Optimisation model

1. List of scheduling rules
2. Generate all possible schedules for each specialty
3. Predict bed occupancy for each possible schedule
4. Select a subset of schedules (one for each specialty) that satisfy all OT and bed constraints
$\min z=c^{T} x \quad \rightarrow \quad$ Minimise 'cost' of chosen schedule
s.t. $A x=e \quad \rightarrow$ Only one specialty scheduled in each OT session
$B x \leq d \quad \rightarrow \quad$ Don't exceed number of beds on wards
$x \in\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow$ Select schedule or not

## A Matrix

University Health Board
$n$ possible plans


## $B$ Matrix

## n possible plans



## Optimisation model

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { min } z=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} & \\
\text { s.t. } & \forall i=1, \ldots, s \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}=1 & \forall i=s+1, \ldots, m \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \leq 1 & \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{k j}^{(l)} x_{j}-\sum_{v=1}^{p} w_{k v} s_{v k}^{(l)}+\sum_{v=1}^{p} w_{v k} s_{k v}^{(l)}=d_{k}^{(l)} & \forall \\
\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{v=1}^{p} w_{k v} s_{v k}^{(l)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{v=1}^{p} w_{v k} s_{k v}^{(l)} & \forall l=1, \ldots, p, l=1, \ldots, q \\
\alpha_{k} d_{k}^{(l)} \leq \sum_{v=1}^{p} w_{v k} s_{k v}^{(l)} \leq \beta_{k} d_{k}^{(l)} & \forall \\
\alpha_{k} \leq \beta_{k} \text { and } \alpha_{k}, \beta_{k} \in[0,1] & k=1, \ldots, p, l=1, \ldots, q \\
x_{j} \in\{0,1\} & \forall \\
s_{k v}^{(l)} \geq 0 \text { and integer } & \forall \quad j=1, \ldots, p \\
- & \forall \\
& k=1, \ldots, p, v=1, \ldots, p, \\
& l=1, \ldots, q
\end{array}
$$

## Robust optimisation

What does the hospital consider a robust schedule?

- "A schedule that will accommodate fluctuations in demand for OT time and that will not cause peaks in demand for beds on wards"


A mathematically robust schedule:

- Guard against the uncertain bed count within the mathematical model
- Possibly willing to accept a compromise schedule in order to ensure the solution remains feasible when the data changes


## Robust scenario approach

- Create $t$ instances of the B matrix (scenarios)
- The more of these matrices that an optimal schedule can satisfy, the more robust it is
- For $t$ scenarios, $p$ wards and $q$ days there are:
- $t \times p \times q$ bed constraints
- $t$ is user defined.
- However, there is a trade-off between a more robust schedule and having too many constraints/an over constrained problem


## NHS in Cardiff

- Cardiff and Vale University Health Board
- Teaching health board with strong links with Cardiff University
- Serves $\sim 445,000$ people in Cardiff and the Vale of Glamorgan in Wales
- University Hospital of Wales (UHW)
- Largest hospital in Wales
- 14 operating rooms
- 18 surgical specialties
- ~20,000 inpatient operations/year



## Single scenario results

- Optimal schedule found from single scenario based optimisation
- Current MSS:

|  | Theatre 0 | Theatre 1 | Theatre 2 | Theatre 3 | Theatre 4 | Theatre 5 | Theatre 6 | Theatre 7 | Theatre 8 | Theatre 9 | Theatre 10 | Theatre 11 | Theatre 12 | Theatre 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MonAM | Trauma | Scoliosis | ENT | Renal | Oral | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| MonPM | Trauma | Scoliosis | ENT | Renal | Oral | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| TuesAM | Trauma | Vascular | Ophthal | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | Renal | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| TuesPM | Trauma | Vascular | Ophthal | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | Renal | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| WedAM | Trauma | Paeds Ortho | ENT | General | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| WedPM | Trauma | Paeds Ortho | ENT | General | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| ThurAM | Trauma | Trauma | Oral | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| ThurPM | Trauma | Trauma | Oral | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| FriAM | Trauma | Scoliosis | Paeds ENT | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Renal | Liver | Oral | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| FriPM | Trauma | Scoliosis | ENT | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Renal | Liver | Oral | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |

- Optimal MSS:
(example)

|  | Theatre 0 | Theatre 1 | Theatre 2 | Theatre 3 | Theatre 4 | Theatre 5 | Theatre 6 | Theatre 7 | Theatre 8 | Theatre 9 | Theatre 10 | Theatre 11 | Theatre 12 | Theatre 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MonAM | Trauma | Paeds Ortho | Paeds ENT | nal | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Renal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| MonPM | Trauma | Paeds Ortho | ENT | Renal | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Renal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| TuesAM | Trauma | Scoliosis | ENT | Renal | Oral | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| TuesPM | Trauma | Scoliosis | ENT | Renal | Oral | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| WedAM | Trauma | Scoliosis | ENT | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| WedPM | Trauma | Scoliosis | ENT | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| ThurAM | Trauma | Vascular | Oral | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| ThurPM | Trauma | Vascular | Oral | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | General | Thoracic | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| FriAM | Trauma | Trauma | Ophthal | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | Liver | Oral | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |
| FriPM | Trauma | Trauma | Ophthal | Vascular | Paeds Gen | CEPOD | Urology | Colorectal | Liver | Oral | Cardiac | Cardiac | Neuro | Neuro |

- Optimal schedule simulated 1000 times
- Bed constraints were violated in 77\% of these simulations


## Multiple scenario results



## Ongoing work

- Might adjust the level of conservatism of the robust solutions in terms of probabilistic bounds of constraint violations
- D. Bertsimas and M. Sim, The price of robustness, Operations Research, 52 (2004), pp. 35-53.
- Various what-if scenarios can now be investigated
- What about problems that are too big to solved exactly:
- Perhaps use heuristics and metaheuristics
- Make the robustness part of the objective function


| Timeslot <br> Theatre |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OT 1 | 2 | 10 | 8 |  |  |
| OT 2 | 4 |  | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| OT 3 | 9 | 1 |  |  | 5 |

## Ongoing work

- Might adjust the level of conservatism of the robust solutions in terms of probabilistic bounds of constraint violations
- D. Bertsimas and M. Sim, The price of robustness, Operations Research, 52 (2004), pp. 35-53.
- Various what-if scenarios can now be investigated
- What about problems that are too big to solved exactly:
- Perhaps use heuristics and metaheuristics
- Make the robustness part of the objective function


| $\frac{\text { Timeslot }}{}$ / <br> Theatre | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\underline{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OT 1 | 2 | 10 | 8 |  |  |
| OT 2 | $\mathbf{4}$ |  | $\underline{7}$ | 6 | 3 |
| OT 3 | 9 | 1 |  |  | 5 |

## Ongoing work

- Might adjust the level of conservatism of the robust solutions in terms of probabilistic bounds of constraint violations
- D. Bertsimas and M. Sim, The price of robustness, Operations Research, 52 (2004), pp. 35-53.
- Various what-if scenarios can now be investigated
- What about problems that are too big to solved exactly:
- Perhaps use heuristics and metaheuristics
- Make the robustness part of the objective function


| $\frac{\text { Timeslot }}{}$ Theatre | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OT 1 | 2 | 10 | $\underline{8}$ |  |  |
| OT 2 | $\underline{4}$ |  | $\underline{7}$ | 6 | 3 |
| OT 3 | 9 | 1 |  |  | 5 |

## Ongoing work

- Might adjust the level of conservatism of the robust solutions in terms of probabilistic bounds of constraint violations
- D. Bertsimas and M. Sim, The price of robustness, Operations Research, 52 (2004), pp. 35-53.
- Various what-if scenarios can now be investigated
- What about problems that are too big to solved exactly:
- Perhaps use heuristics and metaheuristics
- Make the robustness part of the objective function


| Timeslot <br> Theatre |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OT 1 | 2 | 10 | 8 |  |  |
| OT 2 | 4 |  | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| OT 3 | 9 | 1 |  |  | 5 |

## Ongoing work

- Might adjust the level of conservatism of the robust solutions in terms of probabilistic bounds of constraint violations
- D. Bertsimas and M. Sim, The price of robustness, Operations Research, 52 (2004), pp. 35-53.
- Various what-if scenarios can now be investigated
- What about problems that are too big to solved exactly:
- Perhaps use heuristics and metaheuristics
- Make the robustness part of the objective function


| Timeslot <br> Theatre |  | 2 | $\underline{3}$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OT 1 | 2 | 10 | 4 |  |  |
| OT 2 | 7 |  |  | 6 | 3 |
| OT 3 | 8 | 1 | $\underline{1}$ |  | 5 |

## Ongoing work

- Might adjust the level of conservatism of the robust solutions in terms of probabilistic bounds of constraint violations
$\cdot-$ D. Bertsimas and M. Sim, The price of robustness, Operations Research, 52 (2004); pp. 35-53.
- Various what reimarios can now pe investigated

What about prollen s that to sdver et tly

- Perhaps use hedristics and metaheuris cs
- Make the robustness part of the objective function


| $\frac{\text { Timeslot }}{}$ Theatre | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\underline{4}$ | $\underline{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OT 1 | 2 | 10 | $\underline{4}$ |  |  |
| OT 2 | $\underline{\mathbf{7}}$ |  |  | 6 | 3 |
| OT 3 | $\underline{\mathbf{8}}$ | 1 | $\underline{9}$ |  | 5 |

